

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,241	02/27/2002	Li Hao	2250.09US01	2030
24113	7590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER	
PATTERSO 4800 IDS CE	ON, THUENTE, SKA	LU, JIA		
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100			2634	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/084,241	HAO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jia W. Lu	2634	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address	
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO   - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 EX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ 3)□ :	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Oc</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  Ia) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  on Papers			
_	•			
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the conference of the conference of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example of the conference of the conf	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See don is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
a)∑ :	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(	s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	te	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,088,347, in view of patent 5,950,124.
  - a. Regarding claim 1, 3 and 4, patent '347 discloses a two-level variable chip rate CDMA system (column 4, line 3-5) comprising a transmitter applying a first and a second level spreading to the data information, where each spreading gain is the respective length of the spreading code (column 4, line 5-19). Patent '347 does not disclose either first or second level spreading codes to be dynamically variable, however, such a technique is well known in the arts (see patent '124 abstract). It

Application/Control Number: 10/084,241

codes.

Art Unit: 2634

would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to use dynamically variable chip rates in either or both first and second level spreading codes described in patent '347 to provide an improved data transmission rate (patent '124, column 5, lines 15-30). Although the receiver (fig. 1, element 13) detail is not shown, it is obvious that the receiver for a two-level CDMA system must decode in a way that reverses the transmitting process. Thus it is obvious that the second level spreading be despread before the first level spreading is despread. The process of integration over a chip width in the course of dispreading in a receiver is inherent. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one ordinarily skilled in the art to include a receiver system that operates in a way that reverses the spreading process in the transmitter disclosed in patent '347, in order to systematically despread the various spreading

Page 3

b. Regarding claim 2, patent '347 shows each gain of the spreading system to be the length of each spreading code (column 4, 11-12), and the total gain of the two-level spreading system to be the combination of the two gains (column 4, line 5). Note that the combination technique used in patent '347 (column 2, line 46) represents multiplication (column 2, lines 29-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/084,241

Art Unit: 2634

2. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,088,347 and 5,950,124 as applied to claims 1, 3 and 4 above, further in view of PCT application WO 00/5,950,12445530.

Page 4

- a. Regarding claim 5, although patent '347 does not teach the use of ZCZ sequences to be used in a two-level CDMA system, the use of ZCZ, including where ZCZ=1, has already been thoroughly disclosed by applicant in application '530 to be used in spreading and despreading functions in CDMA systems (page 16, line 1 to page 17, line 3). It would have been obvious for one ordinarily skilled in the art to use ZCZ as a common code in spreading and despreading to reduce common channel interference and allow flexible width adjustments.
- b. Regarding claims 6-8, patent '347 teaches the use of Walsh code as a common code (column 6, line 68), orthogonal sequence as a channel code (column 6, line 68), and PN code as a common code (column 4, line 6). While the patent does not disclose the use of ZCZ as either code, application '530 teaches the use of ZCZ (including ZCZ=1) as both common code and channel code (page 16, line 1 to page 17, line 3). The reason to combine is stated above in part a.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2634

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jia W. Lu whose telephone number is 571-272-6042. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 10:30AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,241 Page 6

Art Unit: 2634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jia Lu Examiner

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER